For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	
6	
7	JAMES LYNN O'HINES,
8	Plaintiff, No. C 09-2375 PJH (PR)
9	vs. ORDER OF DISMISSAL
10	Warden JEFF FREELAND, et al.,
11	Defendants.
12	/
13	This is a civil rights case filed pro se by a state prisoner. The complaint is
14	incomprehensible, and so is DISMISSED without prejudice. See <i>Jackson v. Arizona</i> , 885
15	F.2d 639, 641 (9th Cir. 1989) (claim that is totally incomprehensible may be dismissed as
16	frivolous as it is without an arguable basis in law); see also Cato v. United States, 70 F.3d
17	1103, 1106-07 (9th Cir. 1995) (an IFP complaint that fails to identify any constitutional or
18	statutory right that was violated, or assert any basis for federal subject matter jurisdiction is
19	frivolous). The clerk shall close the file.
2021	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	Dated: October 19, 2009. PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
23	United States District Judge
24	
25	
26	
27	

P:\PRO-SE\PJH\CR.09\O'HINES2375.DSM.wpd